

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/647,339 | 08/26/2003 | Jung-Tao Liu | 29250-001071/US | 3513 |
| 7590 11/21/2007 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. | | | EXAMINER | |
| P.O Box 8910 Reston, VA 20195 | | | ADDY, THJUAN KNOWLIN | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2614 | | |
| · | | • | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/21/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/647,339 LIU, JUNG-TAO Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2614 Thjuan K. Addy All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Thjuan K. Addy. (3)____. (4) (2) Ryan Alley. Date of Interview: 14 November 2007. c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Turina et al. (US 6,501,745). Agreement with respect to the claims $f \mid X \mid$ was reached. $g \mid X \mid$ was not reached. $g \mid X \mid$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative wanted to discuss the Examiner's interpretation of the claim 1 element of a single control channel configured based on a transmission mode in Turina, and clarification as to how Turina teaches a control channel, and further where configuration based on transmission mode is performed on that channel. Examiner, pointed out that as currently recited, claim 1 does not recite a single control channel in which only control data/information is transmitted over. Examiner further pointed out that the word "configured" is very broad and could possibly be interpretated in several different ways. For example, it could be interpretated as meaning sent, transmitted, established, created, etc. Applicant's representative agreed to amend the independent claims to recite the single control channel as transmitting only control data/information and to recite the single control channel as being related to, transmitted, established, etc., based on a specific or particular transmission mode. Examiner agreed that these amendments would distinguish the present invention over the prior art discussed. Applicant's representative will be officially filing Amendments/Remarks.